

The Things You CANNOT Say About Coronavirus

Editor

[James Corbett](#)

Pssst. You.

Yeah, you.

Are you interested in talking about...things? You know, the kind of things that we're not allowed to talk about anymore? You know, since the...uhhh...["The Event"](#)?

You are? Great. I mean, you might have noticed things are getting a bit hairy out there. As in, you're likely to get your head bitten off for daring to suggest that things may not be totally ok with the ["new normal."](#)

It seems all these new social norms and cultural taboos that have arisen in the past few weeks have also created a raft of new thoughtcrimes: Things that must not be spoken for fear of being expelled from polite society . . . or worse.

That's why it's so vitally important for us to speak out about our concerns before these socially-policed thoughtcrimes become literal crimes. As I'm sure you know, if these new social norms are not confronted, voicing dissent will soon become impossible.

So, allow me to voice some thoughtcrimes of my own. But be forewarned: I assure you that you will find at least some of my ideas to be offensive. You will disagree with them strongly. You will become irate.

The real question is: What are you going to do to those voicing opinions you disagree with? Engage in dialogue with them? Or demand that agents of the state scrub their speech from the internet and lock them in a cage for their thoughtcrime?

Well, either way, I've already committed thoughtcrime numerous times in recent weeks, I might as well share them with you. Are you ready? Let's go.

1. We have met the enemy . . . and it is our neighbors

People imagine that when the boots-on-the-ground tyranny arrives, it will be enforced by the police or the military. Newsflash: the boots-on-the-ground tyranny is here, and it is being enforced by your neighbors, Joe Sixpack and Jane Soccermom.

Need proof? How about all the new "snitch lines" that are opening up in [city](#) after [city](#) and [state](#) after [state](#) all [around the globe](#) to help good citizens tattle on neighbors who aren't practicing proper social distancing?

That's right. It's not just [guys yelling out their windows](#) in Brooklyn anymore. Now

whenever you see someone within two meters of someone else it is your duty as a loyal citizen of the Brave New World Order to actively report them to the authorities so that they can be dealt with by Big Brother. Rest assured, a [score card is being compiled](#) for each jurisdiction, and the powers-that-shouldn't-be are keeping a list of who's being naughty or nice ([Good job, Minnesota!](#)).

Still, while we can all unequivocally and universally agree 100% with the idea that anyone who physically approaches another human being in this Year of our Virus 2020 deserves to be charged with manslaughter for their heinous act, maybe, just mayyyyyybe—and I'm just spitballing, so forgive me if this seems brash—we're heading into dangerous territory here. You know, what with the social distancing Stasi becoming the enforcers of our new police state nightmare and all. Call me crazy.

2. Doctors are the new soldiers

When 9/11 happened, there was a marked and notable intensification in the propaganda glorifying the American military. Not that such propaganda didn't exist before, but it was nothing like what we've seen since "the day that changed everything." Yes, the hero worship of veterans is one of the hallmarks of the Age of Terror that 9/11 ushered in .

So if this plandemic is the new 9/11, what's the new hero worship? Well, it should be obvious by now: Doctors are the new soldiers. Now we must dutifully show our appreciation for the brave medical workers on the front lines of [this new war](#)...or face yet more social castigation.

You may have noticed the interesting phenomenon making its way around the world. I call it "The Totally Spontaneous Balcony Applause Phenomenon." Yes, completely out of the blue, all the people under lockdown have decided to show their appreciation for the valiant doctors and nurses in this heroic struggle by going to their balcony at a pre-appointed time and applauding. And no, this totally spontaneous phenomenon is not just occurring in [one](#) or [two](#) countries. Or [three](#) or [four](#) countries. But in seemingly [every country](#) around [the globe](#).

Just like that. Just out of the blue. Must be something in the zeitgeist, I guess.

Now you'll forgive me for being out of the loop, but as you know the corona madness has not quite made its way to Japan yet. (But, precisely as I predicted, the very same day that the Tokyo 2020 Games were postponed the Tokyo Governor [suddenly became gravely concerned about her city](#), and they are now going to "have to" lockdown Tokyo unless the poor plebs behave.) So I don't know exactly how people decide on the right time to go to their balcony to applaud. Is it done by vote? What if I'm a few minutes late? Will people think I'm clapping for something else? What exactly is the etiquette here?

Here's my thoughtcrime: I find these displays creepy and off-putting. I find the glorification of doctors and nurses unsettling. Not because I think they are all quacks. Not because I think they are all evil. Not because I am not grateful for the work that (some) doctors do (some of the time). Not because I don't recognize the enormous stress that these doctors and nurses are under right now. But because this socially engineered adoration is going to be used to push an agenda exactly like the glorification of veterans was used to push the militarism agenda of the post-9/11 years.

This time, we are being asked to glorify doctors and nurses because these are the same *trusted experts* whose *authority cannot be questioned* who are going to be giving you the vaccine. You know, The Vaccine. The one that will bring an end to the then 18-month long psychological siege that we are being placed under.

What?? You *still* question the vaccines? You still dare to defy the authority of these brave doctors and nurses who risked their lives for us? You can't say that, you disgusting conspiracy mongering thoughtcriminal, you!

Be honest, you know that this push is coming. And they are getting the public to sign on with all these "spontaneous" balcony applause sessions. So perhaps you'll forgive me for not joining in.

3. I do not trust a single one of the numbers being reported about this outbreak

I am still baffled by the attention that otherwise sane human beings are given to the latest reported numbers from this or that health agency about the scourge of Covid-19. People are throwing around [CFRs](#) and [Ros](#) like they've been studying epidemiology their whole lives. In truth, they're just regurgitating whatever they saw on CNN or were told in the latest Governor Cuomo press conference.

So what do we make of the baffling discrepancy in death rates from Covid-19 between different countries? Why is Italy's death rate from the disease a staggering 10% while China's is more like 4%? And what does that mean for the [70% of humanity](#) that "experts" warn will be infected by this virus?

And while we're at it, why don't we ask some equally meaningful questions, like: What color is the Easter Bunny? How many angels can dance on the head of a pin? And just how tasty is the cheese that the moon is made of, anyway?

As I [demonstrated weeks ago](#), methods for diagnosing this disease vary so widely from country to country that making comparisons between countries isn't even like comparing apples and oranges. It's like comparing apples and aardvarks. And diagnosing a particular type of viral infection [via CT scan](#)? How can we possibly trust the infection numbers that are being generated by such methods?

All of that would make the calculation of mortality rates for this disease problematic enough. But, to make matters worse, we don't even have an accurate tally of the number of people who have died from Covid-19. Take the infamous Italian example, for instance. We're told that the staggering death rates in Italy (roughly 10% if we go by the official numbers at press time) are a sign of just how deadly this new virus can be.

...But there's some problems with those numbers. As Prof Walter Ricciardi—scientific adviser to Italy's minister of health—recently revealed, *"The way in which we code deaths in our country is very generous in the sense that all the people who die in hospitals with the coronavirus are deemed to be dying of the coronavirus."*

So how many of the people who are reported as "Covid-19 deaths" in Italy actually had coronavirus listed as their cause of death? [Just 12 per cent](#). What's more, according to the Italian government's own report, half of those who died had [three or more other diseases](#) at the time of the death. Nearly 80 per cent had at least two other diseases that they were fighting when they died. Only 1.7 per cent of those who died

had no other disease.

But why listen to James Corbett, conspiracy theorist, or those silly Italian government health advisors on this matter? Well, I'm not alone in this suspicion of the official numbers. It turns out the "Our World in Data" research group that has been attempting to keep track of the coronavirus numbers has [stopped using the World Health Organization's data](#) because "*we found many errors in the data published by the WHO when we went through all the daily Situation Reports.*"

And John Ioannidis — who Corbett Report listeners will remember launched the [replication crisis](#) in science with his landmark 2005 paper on "[Why Most Published Research Findings Are False](#)" — has recently come out questioning whether the current Covid-19 response is "A fiasco in the making." As Ioannidis observes:

The data collected so far on how many people are infected and how the epidemic is evolving are utterly unreliable. Given the limited testing to date, some deaths and probably the vast majority of infections due to SARS-CoV-2 are being missed. We don't know if we are failing to capture infections by a factor of three or 300. Three months after the outbreak emerged, most countries, including the U.S., lack the ability to test a large number of people and no countries have reliable data on the prevalence of the virus in a representative random sample of the general population.

After this current madness passes, people will view the public's blind acceptance of these practices in the same way that we look at the public's blind acceptance of bloodletting and other methods of medical chicanery from times past.

4. The death of a 91 year-old is a family tragedy, not an event of international concern

OK, so you still insist on taking these phony baloney numbers seriously? Then let's take another look at that [Italian report](#) on those dying with (not of) Covid-19.

The report tells us that the median age of those who have been pronounced dead with (not of) Covid-19 is 78. To put that number in perspective, the average life expectancy in Italy is 82.8.

That means those who are dying with (not of) the disease are within years of reaching the average life expectancy (and, let's not forget, they are also suffering in the vast majority of cases from at least two other diseases). I venture to say that a similar panic could be raised about just about any viral disease in circulation if it was being reported in the same way as this coronavirus is being reported.

Since we're committing thoughtcrimes here, let's be blunt: "*Elderly Patient With Multiple Complications Dies After Contracting Respiratory Illness*" is NOT a news story. It's a daily fact of life.

But in fact, it is a news story. I have been keeping tabs on how the Canadian MSM have been covering the pandemic panic and saw a segment on one of the national news broadcasts about a woman whose 91-year old mother died in a nursing home. It was implied that this 91-year old woman's life was tragically cut short by the coronavirus and, to make matters worse, her daughter was unable to hold a funeral or service for her mother because Canada is currently under lockdown. I don't know if I have lost touch with reality or everyone else has, but let me reiterate: This is NOT a news story.

Don't get me wrong: Any such death is doubtless a tragedy for the family involved. My heart genuinely goes out to all those who lose their relatives in such circumstances. But this is not something that we upend our entire civilization over. We do not stop all productive human activity on the planet, [collapse the economy](#), send [millions upon millions of people to the unemployment line](#), institute lockdowns, and begin talking about mandatory vaccinations, internal passports and other abrogations of essential human freedoms on such a basis.

In fact, if I were to be dying at the age of 78 due to some viral respiratory illness along with my other 78-year old cohorts, I can guarantee that I would be outraged that the powers-that-shouldn't-be were using my death to upend the liberties that I had spent my life attempting to defend. It is disgusting.

"But what about the young people who die of the disease?" you ask. Fair enough. Again, according to the official reports (which, let me remind you, should not be trusted), there are people under the age of 78 who are dying from the disease as well, albeit in much smaller numbers. And, according to the "models" from the "experts" (who, let's remember, [are right about everything](#)), there could be hundreds of thousands more deaths before this pandemic runs its course.

Well, that brings me to my ultimate thoughtcrime:

5. The idea that disease and death are unnatural or avoidable is anti-human

People die.

Sometimes they die of car accidents. Sometimes they die of work-related mishaps. Sometimes they die of old age. Sometimes they die under [extremely questionable circumstances](#) while trying to shed light on information that is uncomfortable for the deep state. And, yes, sometimes they die of respiratory illnesses during viral pandemics.

I'll go one step further: Our mortality makes us who we are. Humans are blessed and cursed with a knowledge of our own fate. No one makes it out of this life alive. And so the question of what we do with our lives becomes paramount.

But more and more, death is being removed from life. Our elderly are shipped off to nursing homes to wither away so that we don't have to face aging. The funerary industry is neat and anti-septic. Death has become an abstraction. Something that happens somewhere out there, to other people. Not to us, though, surely.

But this entire pandemic madness seems to be predicated on the notion that disease and death are somehow avoidable. That we have conquered such things. Or, at least, that no new disease could ever possibly arise (bioengineered or not) to upset our perfect balance with nature. I mean, yes, many people die of the flu every year, but that doesn't count. That's not new.

This is not to say that we shouldn't work to cure diseases and improve our health. Quite the contrary. It's just that this current bout of hysteria seems almost anti-human; as if we should be able to transcend our mortal humanity.

CJ Hopkins, in his characteristically humorous way, points out the absurdity of this ["War on Death"](#) in his latest article:

We can't let these [Russian dissension sowers](#), neo-Nazi accelerationists, and coronavirus-sympathizers confuse us. They want to convince us that Death is, yes, scary, and sad, but inevitable, and natural. How utterly heartless and insane is that?!

No, we need to close our minds to that nonsense. People are dying! This is not normal! Death is our enemy! We have to defeat it! We need to hunt down and neutralize Death! Root it out if its hidey hole and hang it like we did with Saddam!"

I don't know why the idea that death is a part of life should be controversial. But, given that even a respected blogger like Craig Murray can be largely lambasted by his own audience for daring to post [similar musings](#), I suppose that it is. I don't know anymore. Perhaps I'm off my rocker.

All I know is that the room to express dissent on these topics is fast disappearing. It's time for those of us who can tolerate thoughtcrime to circle the wagons. The Thought Police are closing in.

So maybe you disagree with me. Maybe you're offended by what I say. Maybe you have your own thoughtcrimes that you're afraid to express. But if we don't engage in dialogue about these ideas now, what are the chances that this information will be easier to share in the future?

So what's your thoughtcrime? Share it with the community in the comments below.

The Corbett Report is an independent, listener-supported alternative news source. It operates on the principle of open source intelligence and provides podcasts, interviews, articles and videos about breaking news and important issues from 9/11 Truth and false flag terror to the Big Brother police state, eugenics, geopolitics, the central banking fraud and more. Visit [the website](#) or subscribe to the [YouTube channel](#) for more content.