


The path of the pomegranate

Ideas, theories, thoughts, experiences or delusions, made available for those who will want to read them.

home page	CRUELTY-FREE	ROLFING	DR. HUBS	SEX EDUCATION	M. PROCLAIMED: The number of GOD
Bio-dentist BELOTTI-PROVENZANO	ROLLERS EGYPTIANS	PLATES KOLZOV	PLATES OF TESLA	PRISON THE INVISIBLE	
INLIGHTESSENCES	READ FIVE BIOLOGICAL	MACROLIBRARI	BIO-PSYCHO-LOGY	THE GODS ARCANI	



programma affiliazione amazon



Translate

English Powered by Google Translate

Wednesday, September 24, 2014

HIV VIRUS - Article by Dr. Alessio Pisani [1]

On 23 April 1984, dr. Robert Gallo said at a press conference with the then secretary of the US Department of Health Margaret Heckler that " *the probable cause of AIDS had been identified, is a virus called HTLV 1-2-3 (now called HIV); we hope to have a vaccine ready within two years* "(the years have passed ... 28).


The conference was carried out before Gallo sottoponesse research and experiments to the scientific community to be able to verify the validity. 24 hours after the first "test" supposedly destined to the identification of the virus in human blood had already been patented and was ready to be sold worldwide. The official documents that "prove" this "discovery" are shown below.

On the 26th March 1984 the dr. Gonda, who was commissioned by Gallo and assistants to photograph the "virus" in the electron microscope in order to send the images to the journal Science for publication, wrote to Gallo and his team that: " *... the observed particles are fragments of a cell degenerate "and that" ... do not believe at all that the photographed particles are the HTLV virus (HIV) .* "

The collaborator of Gallo, dr. Popovic wrote in his research (see the original document below) that: " *Despite intense research efforts, the pathogen causes AIDS has not yet been identified .* " Gallo, as you can see from the document, he dropped this sentence and replaced it with one that said the opposite. And he sent his paper to Science magazine who published it on May 4, 1984 ...

In 2008, 37 scientists sent a letter to Science asking that Article 1984 of Gallo were immediately withdrawn as the evidence of how it had been deliberately counterfeited were such as to make it unacceptable from the scientific and moral point of view. This letter is still waiting to be answered.





PLATES KOLZOV



Reflexology - amateur Course



Sisimaru and the Dragon



children's story

Reflections on New Medicine Germanica®



The invisible prison

... the producers of the **Elisa test**, the test item "sensitivity and specificity" say: "TODAY THERE IS A RECOGNIZED STANDARDS FOR ESTABLISHING THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF ANTIBODY HIV-1 and HIV-2 IN HUMAN BLOOD".

But this test is used to say that blood analyzed the patient's antibodies are present and that sooner or later the patient will die.

In the leaflet the **Western Blot test**, called "confirmatory test" precisely because it is expected to confirm an infection proved the first test Elisa, states that: "A BLOOD SAMPLE POSITIVE RESULT BOTH ELISA TEST THAT THE TEST WESTERN BLOT ARE ASSUMED INFECTED FROM HIV-1 "and again:" ALTHOUGH SUCCESSFUL (remember that its positivity varies from country to country ...) MAY INDICATE infection with HIV-1, THE DIAGNOSIS OF AIDS CAN 'BE MADE ONLY IF THE INDIVIDUAL REFLECTS THE CRITERIA DIAGNOSTIC ESTABLISHED BY THE CDC (CENTER OF DISEASES CONTROL) "and also in paragraph 6 it is stated:" DO NOT USE THE WESTERN BLOT SOLE POSITIVE TEST OF 'THE VIRUS DIAGNOSIS CONFIRMATION HIV-1. "

Too bad this is called and used as a confirmatory test ...

Moving on to the third diagnostic method, the **PCR**, that's what brings the test leaflet: "THIS TECHNIQUE MUST NOT BE USED AS SCREENING TEST FOR HIV VIRUS OR AS DIAGNOSTIC TOOL TO CONFIRM THE PRESENCE OF VIRUSES."

With this test, however, doctors decide when to start drug therapies on HIV-positive patients defined. Drug therapies based on toxic and deadly drugs (called antiretroviral-ARV drugs) in which leaflets, openly accessible on the FDA website (Food and Drugs Administration) it is stated that (see image, example of one of many ARV drugs photographed directly from the US site www.fda.gov) :

"THIS DRUG NOT CARE AND WILL NOT PREVENT HIV INFECTION AND DOES NOT PREVENT THE TRANSMISSION. THIS DRUG CAN 'CAUSE, AND ITS EFFECTS, THE SAME SYMPTOMS OF ACQUIRED IMMUNE DEFICIENCY (AIDS) ".



Millions of victims in the world are so dead because of drugs they had to cure them

Françoise Barré-Sinoussi took the Nobel Prize in Medicine along with Luc Montagnier for alleged discovery of HIV; but the former said in the documentary "The Emperor New Virus" that: "Purify the virus was essential in the preparation tests to find HIV antibodies, ok? Because we wanted the diagnostic tests were as accurate as possible. In fact if you use a preparation of virus which is not purified of course will identify antibodies of all kinds ... "

Too bad that **Luc Montagnier** who shared with her the Nobel has argued: " **I repeat we did not purify** ", " **I repeat, we did not isolated** " (Continuum Magazine 1997 vol 5, No. 2).
... then? The virus has NEVER been seen by anyone ...

In a 2009 interview, the co-discoverer of the HIV virus Luc Montagnier said: "WE CAN ALL BE EXPOSED TO THE VIRUS HIV WITHOUT BEING INFECTED chronically; AN IMMUNE SYSTEM WORKING will release 'VIRUS NATURALLY' ".

The professor. Montagnier should explain how you can get rid "so natural" by a retrovirus that for almost 30 years has been called incurable, deadly, highly communicable

Also remember that the function of a vaccine is to create antibodies to the disease itself. If a person is positive to a test for cytomegalovirus or toxoplasmosis, for example, it is declared immunized towards these pathogens, since in the blood are detected precisely specific antibodies. In HIV testing, as we have seen the same producers declare unable to identify the HIV antibody positivity (or the presence of antibodies) was valued as a marker of chronic infection, progressive and fatal.

But even if HIV was a retrovirus, as argued for decades, it is important to know that in the history of microbiology and virology no retrovirus has ever been neither dangerous nor deadly. Our genetic heritage has in fact about ninety-seven thousand (97000) endogenous retroviruses (ie innate, not acquired from the outside) naturally present in the body and absolutely harmless.

The cell cultures used by Gallo in 1983, which followed the publication of the Science paper-announcement of the discovery of HIV, were mixed with cells from umbilical cord blood, tissue long recognized for its richness in human retroviruses. That article thus comprises serious methodological errors.

15 years later experimental controls were carried out in French and American laboratories who published an article in the journal Virology, which showed the results of their studies on electron microscopic gradients obtained from cell cultures that were thought to be HIV infected. In both studies, the authors found an abundance of cellular debris with no evidence acceptable retroviral particles. Almost simultaneously Luc Montagnier was interviewed by Djamel Tahi and ended up admitting that in fact the HIV virus had not been isolated in his laboratory.

Ultimately, one wonders why the global program to combat AIDS in the United States is run by the National Security Council and the CIA, and has been instead entrusted to the competent bodies in the field of health.

Article Source: <http://scienzamarzia.blogspot.it/2012/03/aids-la-frode-scientifica-del-secolo.html>



The Great Adventure




Healing with symbols




The origins of the disease



Antonio Bertoli, Alejandro Jodorowsky



MERIDIANI



fixed Readers